Name of Applicant _We Mourn their Passing + Celebrate their lives._   Name of Reviewer _B. Sullivan_
_4-10-13_

Spring 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) _4_	5	_20_	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _4_	5	_20_	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _3_	2	_6_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _4_	1	_4_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) _4_	2	_8_	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _58_

Add Preference Points

0-10	_5_	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_3_	Outlying Areas
0-10	_3_	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_3_	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_0_	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS   _72_

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☑ YES ☐ NO   Partial funding: $ _7200.00_

Klamath Freedom Celebration
_____
Name of Applicant

Matthew Dodson
_____
Name of Reviewer

Spring 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 2	5	10	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) 4	5	20	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 2	2	4	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 1	1	1	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) 1	2	2	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 37

Add Preference Points

0-10	0	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	0	Outlying Areas
0-10	4	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	0	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS   41

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: I'm not sure what the event is, One weekend or multiple events, Past evaluations should have definite numbers so we know that grants are worthwhile, All letters were over a year old,

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☐ YES ☒ NO   Partial funding: $_____

Form # KCF 3008
Revised the 28th of September, 2011

Klamath Freedom
Name of Applicant

Lisa Galloway
Name of Reviewer

Spring 2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) _2_	5	_10_	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _2_	5	_10_	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _3_	2	_6_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _3_	1	_3_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) _2_	2	_4_	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _33_

Add Preference Points

0-10	_0_	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_3_	Outlying Areas
0-10	_0_	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_0_	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS _36_

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _Grant Applicatie not clear_

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☐ YES ☒ NO   Partial funding: $_____

Form # KCF 3008
Revised the 28th of September, 2011

Klamath Freedom
Name of Applicant

MARQUEZ
Name of Reviewer

Spring 2013_Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 2	5	10	How well does/will this project increase tourism? *motorcycle rally* Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits? *3 day*
(1-5) 2	5	10	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? *difficult to determine.* What is the potential to succeed? *details are lacking.* Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 5	2	10	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? *Impressive support.* Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 2	1	2	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) 1	2	2	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? *they mention 'attached* Are the outcomes measurable and objective? *survey,' but it is not attached. However, I think motorcycle rally participants would register, I imagine.*

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _____

Add Preference Points

0-10	_____	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	5	Outlying Areas
0-10	5	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_____	Family Friendliness ? *problem before, but they may have corrected.*

Deduct Penalty Points

| -10 | _____ | Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time |

TOTAL POINTS 44

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: The event we are being asked to help promote is the 3 day motorcycle rally in early August: Crater Lake Vietnam Wall Poker Run. Review final evaluation from last year (182 # hotel room - how determine?). Letters of support same as last year: Final report attendance #s not credible.

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☑ YES ☐ NO   Partial funding: $ 2,000 _____

Form # KCF 3008
Revised the 28th of September, 2011

Freedom Celebration
Name of Applicant

Chip Massie
Name of Reviewer

Spring 2013_Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) _3_	5	_15_	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _3_	5	_15_	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable? _1 yr only_
(1-5) _1_	2	_2_	Is there demonstrated community support? _area_ Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _1_	1	_1_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) _2_	2	_4_	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _37_

Add Preference Points

0-10	_____	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_____	Outlying Areas
0-10	_____	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_____	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS _37_

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _Last years success! No real supportive documentation_
of any kind. Copy and paste job
_____

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☐ YES ☒ NO Partial funding: $_____

Form # KCF 3008
Revised the 28th of September, 2011

Freedom Celebration
Name of Applicant

Don A
Name of Reviewer

Spring 2013_Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) _3_	5	_15_	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _3_	5	_15_	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _5_	2	_10_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _4_	1	_4_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) _3_	2	_6_	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _50_

Add Preference Points

0-10	_6_		Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_7_		Outlying Areas
0-10	_5_		Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_3_		Family Friendliness
	_23_		

Deduct Penalty Points

-10   _____   Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time

TOTAL POINTS   _73_

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____
_____
_____
_____

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☒ YES ☐ NO   Partial funding: $ _6000 00_

Form # KCF 3008
Revised the 28th of September, 2011